

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/781,077	02/09/2001	James L. Holloway	00-18	7482
75	90 09/15/2004		EXAMINER	
SHELBY J WALKER			SAOUD, CHRISTINE J	
ZYMOGENTICS INC 1201 EASTLAKE AVENUE EAST			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SEATTLE, WA			1647	
			DATE MAILED: 09/15/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/781,077	HOLLOWAY ET AL	•
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	_
	Christine J. Saoud	1647	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 30 August 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applic a timely filed amendment whice	ation. A proper repl th places the applica	y to a ation in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The see have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of see under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF T date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the ma	ng date of the final reject HE FINAL REJECTION. FR 1.136(a) and the approper of the fee. The approper originally set in the final	on. See MPEP ropriate extension ropriate extension Office action; or
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFI 	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of	eriod set forth in of the appeal.	
The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be			
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search ((see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note be			
(c) they are not deemed to place the application is issues for appeal; and/or			
(d) They present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claim	ıs.
NOTE:			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: Se	reconsideration has been cons e Continuation Sheet.	idered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which wer	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	t(s) a) will not be entered or bould be rejected is provided belo	o) will be entered ow or appended.	and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	<u></u> •	
10. Other:			
· - · <u>- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·</u>	PRIM	ISTINE J. SAOUD	
	· / } }	· + · (/ <	

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: it is not found persuasive. Substantially similar arguments to those previously presented.

CHRISTINE J. SAOUD PRIMARY EXAMINER

Christin J. Saoud